1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NORTHERN DISTR	ANKRUPTCY COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA ISCO DIVISION
11	SANTANO	isco bivision
12 13 14 15	In re: PG&E CORPORATION, - and -	Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM) Chapter 11 (Lead Case) (Jointly Administered) REORGANIZED DEBTORS' NINETY-
16	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,	EIGHTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (PASSTHROUGH CLAIMS)
17	Debtors.	Response Deadline:
18 19	☐ Affects PG&E Corporation ☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company ☑ Affects both Debtors	August 11, 2021, 4:00 p.m. (PT) Hearing Information If Timely Response Made: Date: August 25, 2021
20 21	* All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).	Time: 10:00 a.m. (Pacific Time) Place: (Telephonic Appearances Only) United States Bankruptcy Court
22		Courtroom 17, 16th Floor San Francisco, CA 94102
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 10937 Filed: 07/15/21 Entered: 07/15/21 17:01:48 Page 1 of 8

TO: (A) THE HONORABLE DENNIS MONTALI, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE; (B) THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE; (C) THE AFFECTED CLAIMANTS; AND (D) OTHER PARTIES ENTITLED TO NOTICE:

PG&E Corporation ("PG&E Corp.") and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the "Utility"), as debtors and reorganized debtors (collectively, "PG&E" or the "Debtors" or as reorganized pursuant to the Plan (as defined below), the "Reorganized Debtors") in the above-captioned Chapter 11 cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases") hereby submit this Ninety-Eighth Omnibus Objection (the "Objection") to the Proofs of Claim (as defined below) identified in the columns headed "Claims To Be Expunged" on Exhibit 1A and Exhibit 1B annexed hereto.

I. JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction over this Objection under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; the *Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges*, General Order 24 (N.D. Cal.); and Rule 5011-1(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the "Bankruptcy Local Rules"). This matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicates for the relief requested are section 502 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules").

II. BACKGROUND

On January 29, 2019 (the "**Petition Date**"), the Debtors commenced with the Court voluntary cases under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Prior to the Effective Date (as defined below), the Debtors continued to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner was appointed in either of the Chapter 11 Cases. The Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered for procedural purposes only pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

Additional information regarding the circumstances leading to the commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases and information regarding the Debtors' businesses and capital structure is set forth in the *Amended Declaration of Jason P. Wells in Support of the First Day Motions and Related Relief* [Docket No. 263].

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 10937 Filed: 07/15/21 Entered: 07/15/21 17:01:48 Page 2

On July 1, 2019, the Court entered the Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b)(9) and 105(a), Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002, 3003(c)(3), 5005, and 9007, and L.B.R. 3003-1 (I) Establishing Deadline for Filing Proofs of Claim, (II) Establishing the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof, and (III) Approving Procedures for Providing Notice of Bar Date and Other Information to All Creditors and Potential Creditors [Docket No. 2806] (the "Bar Date Order"). The Bar Date Order set the deadline to file all proofs of claim (each, a "Proof of Claim") in respect of any prepetition claim (as defined in section 101(5) of the Bankruptcy Code), including all claims of Fire Claimants (as defined therein), Wildfire Subrogation Claimants (as defined therein), Governmental Units (as defined in section 101(27) of the Bankruptcy Code), and the Debtors' Customers (the "Customers"), and for the avoidance of doubt, including all secured claims and priority claims, against either of the Debtors as October 21, 2019, at 5:00 p.m. Pacific Time (the "Bar Date"). The Bar Date later was extended solely with respect to unfiled, non-governmental Fire Claimants to December 31, 2019 [Docket No. 4672]¹; and subsequently with respect to certain claimants that purchased or acquired the Debtors' publicly held debt and equity securities and may have claims against the Debtors for rescission or damages to April 16, 2020 [Docket No. 5943]. Pursuant to Paragraph 3(o) of the Bar Date Order, any Customer whose claim was limited exclusively to ordinary and customary refunds, overpayments, billing credits, deposits, or similar billing items was not required to file a Proof of Claim.

By Order dated June 20, 2020 [Docket No. 8053], the Bankruptcy Court confirmed the *Debtors'* and Shareholder Proponents' Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization Dated June 19, 2020 (as may be further modified, amended or supplemented from time to time, and together with any exhibits or scheduled thereto, the "Plan"). The Effective Date of the Plan occurred on July 1, 2020 (the "Effective Date"). See Dkt. No. 8252.

III. RELIEF REQUESTED

The Reorganized Debtors file this Objection, pursuant to section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 3007, Bankruptcy Local Rule 3007-1, and the *Order Approving (A) Procedures for Filing Omnibus Objections to Claims and (B) the Form and Manner of the Notice of Omnibus*

28

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

¹ The claims of Fire Claimants will be administered through the Fire Victim Trust and the claims of Wildfire Subrogation Claimants through the Subrogation Wildfire Trust in accordance with the Plan.

Objections, dated June 30, 2020 [Docket No. 8228] (the "Omnibus Objections Procedures Order"), seeking entry of an order expunging Proofs of Claim (the "Passthrough Proofs of Claim") that, either (1) Are claims filed by certain Customers that will be resolved in the ordinary course; or (2) In accordance with the confirmed Plan, ride through the Chapter 11 Cases unimpaired such that the holders of these claims may continue to pursue them against the Reorganized Debtors as if the Chapter 11 Cases had not been commenced. The Passthrough Proofs of Claim are identified on <u>Exhibit 1A</u> and <u>Exhibit 1B</u>, in the columns headed "Claims To Be Expunged." The Passthrough Proofs of Claim are unimpaired under the Plan and may be pursued as if the Chapter 11 Cases had not been commenced:

(1) "Customer No Liability / Passthrough Claims." As the Court is aware, in connection with the Bar Date Order, the Debtors served specially tailored and customized notices of the Bar Date (the "Customer Bar Date Notice") together with Proof of Claim forms on all of the Utility's nearly 6.2 million customers. *See* Docket Nos. 2806 and 3159. The simpler Customer Bar Date Notice made clear that Customers were not required to file Proofs of Claim for ordinary and customary refunds, overpayments, billing credits, deposits, or similar billing items. The Customer No Liability / Passthrough Claims listed on Exhibit 1A arise from either (1) Customer Security Deposits² or (2) Energy Efficiency Program Rebates³.

by the underlying incentive agreements.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 10937 Filed: 07/15/21 Entered: 07/15/21 17:01:48 Page 4

² As defined in the Motion of Debtors Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a), 363(b), and 507(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 6003 and 6004 for Interim and Final Orders (I) Authorizing Debtors to (A) Maintain and Administer Customer Programs, Including Public Purpose Programs, and (B) Honor Any Prepetition Obligations Relating Thereto; and (II) Authorizing Financial Institutions to Honor and Process Related Checks and Transfers [Docket No. 16] (the "Customer Programs Motion"), "Security Deposits" are those Deposits required for certain customers to demonstrate sufficient creditworthiness prior to the Debtors providing gas and electric services. Security Deposits are credited to customer accounts or refunded directly to customers if (a) a Customer has no more than two past-due bills during the twelvemonth period after commencing service and/or has not had service otherwise discontinued for non-payment during such twelve-month period; (b) a Customer voluntarily discontinues service; or (c) a Customer otherwise meets the Debtors' criteria for creditworthiness and requests the return of a Security Deposit.

³ Also as defined in the Customer Programs Motion, the Reorganized Debtors' CPUC-mandated Energy Efficiency Programs ("EE Programs") offer incentives, services, education and tools aimed to assist residential, commercial, industrial, and agricultural Customers and local government partners in eliminating unnecessary energy use and saving money. Through strategic energy planning support, technical support services, and financial support through rebates, incentives, education, and financing options, the EE Programs empower Customers to better understand, manage, and eliminate unnecessary energy use. Rebates are owed to Customers upon completion of the project or as defined

The Reorganized Debtors' personnel conducted a rigorous review of their records to confirm that the holders of the Customer No Liability / Passthrough Claims did not hold any valid non-ordinary course prepetition Claims. First, the Reorganized Debtors and their advisors either established that all Claimants were current or former Customers of the Utility or that the Claims sought amounts solely arising from Customer Security Deposits or Energy Efficiency Program Rebates. Next, the Reorganized Debtors' Customer Relations Department cross-checked the Claims against complaints made to the California Public Utilities Commission and excluded any claims where a formal complaint remained unresolved. Finally, the Reorganized Debtors and their professionals checked Claimants' names against parties with known litigation claims, Fire Victim Claims, and other property damage claims. Any matches have been excluded and are not the subject of this Objection.

Accordingly, for the reasons set forth herein, the Customer No Liability / Passthrough Claims should be expunged because, in accordance with the Bar Date Order, they will be resolved in the ordinary course.

(2) <u>Post-Petition Claims</u>. This Proof of Claim asserts an amount that arose after the Petition Date and, thus, does not represent a prepetition liability of the Debtors subject to payment through the Chapter 11 claims process. Claims for post-petition liabilities, to the extent that they are allowed in the ordinary course or pursuant to the Plan, will be paid by the Debtors or the Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, in the ordinary course of business, consistent with past practice and Section 2.1 of the Plan, and in accordance with the terms and subject to the conditions of any orders or agreements governing, instruments evidencing, or other documents establishing, such liabilities.

Accordingly, there is nothing for this Court to resolve with respect to this Claim and any Proof of Claim filed on account of such Claim should be expunged and the asserted claim may be resolved in the ordinary course of business.

IV. ARGUMENT

A. The Passthrough Proofs of Claim Should be Expunged

The Omnibus Objections Procedures Order supplemented Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) to permit the Reorganized Debtors to file objections to more than one claim if "[t]he claims seek recovery of amounts for which the Debtors are not liable." Omnibus Objections Procedures Order, ¶ 2(C)(iii). The

 Reorganized Debtors and their professionals have reviewed each of the Customer No Liability / Passthrough Claims identified on **Exhibit 1A** and have determined that each such Claim does not represent a current right to payment because it will be resolved in the ordinary course. The Reorganized Debtors and their professionals also have reviewed the Post-Petition Claim identified on **Exhibit 1B**⁴ and have determined the Claim seeks payment of amounts that arose after the Petition Date that are not due and payable and, to the extent allowed in the ordinary course or pursuant to the Plan, will be paid in the ordinary course consistent with past practice and Section 2.1 of the Plan, and in accordance with the terms and subject to the conditions of any orders or agreements governing, instruments evidencing, or other documents establishing, such liability.

If not expunged, the Passthrough Proofs of Claim potentially could allow the applicable Claimants to receive recoveries to which they are not entitled. Each of the Claimants is listed alphabetically, and the claim number and amount are identified in accordance with Bankruptcy Rule 3007(e). Furthermore, in accordance with the Omnibus Objections Procedures Order, the Reorganized Debtors have sent individualized notices to the holders of each of the Customer No Liability / Passthrough Claims.

B. The Claimants Bear the Burden of Proof

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A filed proof of claim is "deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects." 11 U.S.C.

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 10937 Filed: 07/15/21 Entered: 07/15/21 17:01:48 Page 6

of 8

⁴ The Reorganized Debtors previously objected to three Proofs of Claim filed by Clyde Morton, the claimant on the Post-Petition Claim identified on Exhibit 1B, Proof of Claim No. 107052 (the "Morton Claim"). See Docket Nos. 9458, 10284 and 10540. Mr. Morton did not respond to any of the prior omnibus objections, but rather filed new Proofs of Claim, and in all but one instance did not indicate that such Proofs of Claim were amendments of previously-filed proofs of claim. Because no response appeared on the docket, and counsel for the Reorganized Debtors received no communication from Mr. Morton with respect to the omnibus objections, the omnibus objections were sustained without a hearing with respect to his earlier-filed claims. See Docket Nos. 9867, 10504, and 10706. Upon review, the later-filed Proofs of Claim appear to be based on the same basis as Mr. Morton's original Proofs of Claim, and appear to have been filed in response to the earlier omnibus objections, and therefore, the Reorganized Debtors are not objecting to Mr. Morton's Claim based on its untimeliness. Mr. Morton's first Proof of Claim (#80171) was objected to on the basis that the Debtors did not cause the damage alleged. See Docket No 9458. Based on a review of the Morton Claim, the Reorganized Debtors have determined that the Morton Claim is based on damages that allegedly occurred post-petition, as a result of construction by a PG&E contractor that began in late January 2019 and continued through April 2019, and accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors object to the Morton Claim at this time on the basis that it does not assert a prepetition liability. The Reorganized Debtors reserve all rights and defenses with respect to Mr. Morton's claims. Counsel for the Reorganized Debtors has communicated directly with Mr. Morton regarding the status of his claims and has advised him to file any response to this Omnibus Objection on the docket.

§ 502(a).⁵ Section 502(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, however, provides in relevant part that a claim may not be allowed if "such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1). Once the objector raises "facts tending to defeat the claim by probative force equal to that of the allegations of the proofs of claim themselves," Wright v. Holm (In re Holm), 931 F.2d 620, 623 (9th Cir. 1991), quoting 3 L. King, Collier on Bankruptcy § 502.02 at 502-22 (15th ed. 1991), then "the burden reverts to the claimant to prove the validity of the claim by a preponderance of the evidence," Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortgage (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortgage), 178 B.R. 222, 226 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995) (quoting In re Allegheny Int'l, Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 173-74 (3d Cir. 1992)), aff'd without opinion 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996). "[T]he ultimate burden of persuasion is always on the claimant." Holm, 931 F.2d at 623 (quoting King, Collier on Bankruptcy); see also Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc., 223 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2000); Spencer v. Pugh (In re Pugh), 157 B.R. 898, 901 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1993); In re Fidelity Holding Co., 837 F.2d 696, 698 (5th Cir. 1988).

As set forth above, the Reorganized Debtors submit that the Claims will pass through the Chapter 11 Cases unaffected by the Plan and, therefore, any Proofs of Claim filed on account of such Claims should be expunged. This expungement will not affect the right of the holders of the Passthrough Proofs of Claim to enforce their Claims in the ordinary course outside of the Chapter 11 Cases.

V. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

The Reorganized Debtors hereby reserve the right to object, as applicable, in the future to any of the Proofs of Claim listed in this Objection on any ground, and to amend, modify, or supplement this Objection to the extent an objection to a claim is not granted, and to file other objections to any proofs of claims filed in these cases, including, without limitation, objections as to the amounts asserted therein, or any other claims (filed or not) against the Debtors, regardless of whether such claims are subject to

ase: 19-30088 Doc# 10937 Filed: 07/15/21 Entered: 07/15/21 17:01:48 Page 7

of 8

J

⁵ Upon the Reorganized Debtors' request, the deadline under Section 7.1 of the Plan for the Reorganized Debtors to bring objections to Claims initially was extended through and including June 26, 2021 (except for Claims of the United States, which deadline was extended to March 31, 2021) [Docket No. 9563]. That deadline has been further extended through December 23, 2021, except for Claims of the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, which deadline was extended to September 30, 2021, without prejudice to the right of the Reorganized Debtors seek further extensions thereof [Docket No. 10494]. The deadline with respect to Claims of the United States has been further extended by stipulation and order [Docket Nos. 10459 and 10463].

this Objection. A separate notice and hearing will be scheduled for any such objections. Should the grounds of objection specified herein be overruled, wholly or in part, the Reorganized Debtors reserve the right to object to the Passthrough Proofs of Claim on any other grounds that the Reorganized Debtors may discover or deem appropriate.

VI. **NOTICE**

Notice of this Objection will be provided to (i) holders of the Passthrough Proofs of Claim; (ii) the Office of the U.S. Trustee for Region 17 (Attn: Andrew R. Vara, Esq. and Timothy Laffredi, Esq.); (iii) all counsel and parties receiving electronic notice through the Court's electronic case filing system; and (iv) those persons who have formally appeared in these Chapter 11 Cases and requested service pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Reorganized Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is required. No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Reorganized Debtors to this or any other Court.

WHEREFORE the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request entry of an order granting (i) the relief requested herein as a sound exercise of the Reorganized Debtors' business judgment and in the best interests of their estates, creditors, shareholders, and all other parties' interests, and (ii) such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

Dated: July 15, 2021 KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP

By: /s/ Thomas B. Rupp Thomas B. Rupp

Attorneys for Debtors and Reorganized Debtors

23

27

28

Case: 19-30088 Entered: 07/15/21 17:01:48 Page 8 Doc# 10937 Filed: 07/15/21